

1 RONALD A. PETERS, Bar No. 169895  
 2 BENJAMIN A. EMMERT, Bar No. 212157  
 2 LITTLER MENDELSON  
 3 A Professional Corporation  
 3 50 West San Fernando Street  
 4 15th Floor  
 4 San Jose, CA 95113-2303  
 5 Telephone: 408.998.4150

5  
 6 Attorneys for Defendants  
 6 AC SQUARE, INC., AFSHIN GHANEH,  
 7 ANDREW BAHMANYAR

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on  
 12 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
 12 situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 AC SQUARE, INC.; COMCAST INC.;  
 16 AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW  
 16 BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1 THROUGH  
 17 60, inclusive,

18 Defendant.

19 Case No. CV-08-3035-EDL

20 **[CALIFORNIA ACTION NO. CIV 464144**  
**CONSOLIDATED BY ORDER OF**  
**COURT WITH CIV 473571]**

21 **DEFENDANT AFSHIN GHANEH'S  
 22 REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE  
 22 FILED IN SUPPORT OF AFSHIN  
 23 GHANEH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
 23 MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S  
 24 FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH, AND  
 24 FIFTH CAUSES OF ACTION FROM  
 25 COMPLAINT, CASE NUMBER CV-08-  
 25 3035-EDL**

26 Date: August 19, 2008

27 Time: 9:30 a.m.

28 Dept: Courtroom E, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor

Date: Honorable Magistrate Judge  
 Elizabeth D. Laporte

23 Defendant Afshin Ghaneh submits the following Request for Judicial Notice in support of  
 24 Defendant, Afshin Ghaneh's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's first, second, third, fourth and fifth causes  
 25 of action from the Complaint, Case Number CV-08-3035-EDL, pursuant to Federal Rule of  
 26 Evidence section 201.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 1:**

2 Defendant requests this Court take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Complaint for  
 3 Damages and Demand for Jury Trial filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo,  
 4 Case Number CIV 456118, on July 07, 2006. A true and correct copy of this document is attached  
 5 hereto as Exhibit A.

6 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 2:**

7 Defendant requests this Court take judicial notice of Request for Dismissal of the  
 8 first, second, third and fifth causes of action from Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages and Demand  
 9 for Jury Trial filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo, Case Number CIV  
 10 456118, on June 25, 2007. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 3:**

12 Defendant requests this Court take judicial notice of the Order Granting Defendant AC  
 13 Square's Motion for Summary Adjudication to Plaintiff's Fourth Cause of Action for Wrongful  
 14 Termination in Violation of Public Policy in Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages and Demand for  
 15 Jury Trial filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo, Case Number CIV 456118  
 16 entered on June 28, 2007. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

17 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 4:**

19 Defendant requests this Court take judicial notice of the Judgment on Plaintiff's Complaint  
 20 for Damages and Demand for Jury Trial filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San  
 21 Mateo, Case Number CIV 456118, on April 25, 2008. A true and correct copy of this document is  
 22 attached hereto as Exhibit D.

23 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 5:**

25 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Complaint for Restitution,  
 26 Damages and Injunctive Relief filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo, Case  
 27 Number CIV 464144 on June 29, 2007. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto  
 28 as Exhibit E.

1 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 6:**

2 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Complaint for Restitution,  
 3 Damages and Injunctive Relief filed in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo, Case  
 4 Number CIV 473571 on June 10, 2008. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto  
 5 as which is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

6 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 7:**

8 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of the Order Consolidating Related  
 9 Actions San Mateo Superior Court Case Numbers CIV 464144 and CIV 473571, entered in the  
 10 Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo and filed on June 19, 2008. A true and correct  
 11 copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

12 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 8:**

13 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of the Notice of Filing Removal, filed  
 14 by Defendant AC Square, Inc. on June 20, 2008. A true and correct copy of this document is  
 15 attached hereto as Exhibit H.


17 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 9:**

18 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages  
 19 for Violation of Fair Labor Standards Act, Case Number CV 08- 2907- MHP, filed with this Court  
 20 on June 11, 2008. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit I.

21 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE NO. 10:**

22 Defendant requests that the Court take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to  
 23 Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, CIV-08-303-EDL, filed on June 27, 2008. A true and  
 24 correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit J.

1 Dated: July 9, 2008  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

  
RONALD A. PETERS  
BENJAMIN EMMERT  
LITTLER MENDELSON  
A Professional Corporation  
Attorneys for Defendant  
AC SQUARE, INC., AFSHIN GHANEH,  
ANDREW BAHMANYAR

8 Firmwide:85789470.1 047098.1008  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4.

---

Request for Judicial Notice, FRE, Rule 201  
Case No. CV-08-3035-EDL

EXHIBIT A

1 BRUCE R. BERNSTEIN (SB# 104230)  
2 LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE R. BERNSTEIN  
3 2670 Leavenworth Street  
4 San Francisco, CA 94133  
5 Tel: (415) 474-1805  
6 Fax: (415) 474-1806

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
8 DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ENDORSED FILED  
SAN MATEO COUNTY**

JUL 07 2006

*Clerk of the Superior Court  
by, Hardan Maxwell  
DEPUTY CLERK*

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR,

Case No.:

**CV 456118  
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff,

v.

AC SQUARE, INC., a California  
corporation, Does 1-20,

Defendants,

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant AC SQUARE, INC. is and at all times  
2 mentioned in this Complaint was a California corporation, with the principal place of business located  
3 in Burlingame, San Mateo County, California.

2. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants Does 1 through 20, and  
3 therefore sues these Defendants in such fictitious names. Plaintiff will pray leave of this Court to  
4 amend this complaint to allege the true identities when ascertained.

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and alleges that each of the defendants herein was at all  
4 relevant times the agent, employee, representative, partner, sub-contractor, joint venturer of the  
5 remaining defendants and acting within the course and scope of that relationship. Plaintiff is further  
6 informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and otherwise  
7 authorized the acts alleged herein to each of the remaining Defendants.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

4. Plaintiff DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR, a resident of San Francisco County, began working on or around December 1, 2004 for Defendants pursuant to an oral agreement as a trainee installation technician providing cable television and computer services to Comcast consumers throughout the Bay Area, including San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties. Plaintiff was not paid by AC SQUARE, INC. for two months while in this training period.

5. Subsequent to his successful completion of the training program and having undertaken and passed an employment skills test, Plaintiff was hired as a permanent full-time employee of AC SQUARE, INC. pursuant to a written employment contract on or around January 30, 2005. Plaintiff was paid on a "piece work" basis, with varying rates for installations, disconnects, upgrades, and other similar services.

6. However, Plaintiff was not paid at a time and one-half rate for work in excess of 8 hours per day. Plaintiff was also not paid for his travel time from one locale to another, including when he was required to commute beyond 8 hours per day.

7. Plaintiff was required to use his own vehicle and other personal items of his, including tools, a safety belt, and non-conducive ladder (for climbing utility poles) in order to perform the essential duties of Defendant's business.

8. Plaintiff was not reimbursed for gas, cellphone bills, parking tickets, or vehicle maintenance and damage (such as when a golf ball broke his truck's windshield).

9. Additionally, Defendant AC SQUARE, INC. improperly deducted the cost of tools and other items from Plaintiff's wages, including an industry-specific cable crimping tool and a Nextel radio.

10. Defendant AC SQUARE, INC. also deducted from Plaintiff's wages costs it alleged to have incurred as a result of lost equipment, including modems and cable television boxes (for as much as \$360.00 per item), including for such equipment that was negligently and unintentionally mislaid while at a consumer's residence and also for equipment which had in fact been returned to the Inventory

11. Defendant AC SQUARE, INC. also charged back to Plaintiff's wages for jobs that were  
 1 alleged by the Comcast's Quality Controllers to have not been completed or alleged to have been  
 2 inadequately performed, such as disconnects that may have been reconnected by the consumer. In all  
 3 cases, the chargebacks were in the sum of \$50.00, a sum far larger than that payable by Defendant  
 4 to Plaintiff. (For instance, a disconnect for example was paid at a \$5.00 piece rate.)  
 5

12. Plaintiff KEATING-TRAYNOR complained about these deductions and chargebacks to his  
 6 wages and was retaliated against by being given the less remunerative piece work orders, such as  
 7 disconnects, resulting in even lower income to him. For example, Plaintiff complained on or about  
 8 April 30, 2005 regarding a deduction of \$360.00 from his wages payable for the period of April 10-  
 9 23, 2005.  
 10

11. On or about May 2, 2005, Defendant again retaliated against Plaintiff DANIEL KEATING-  
 12 TRAYNOR by terminating him. Plaintiff at that time had accrued wages. Said wages have not been  
 13 forthcoming despite demand therefor, and no accounting has been given.  
 14

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
 [Non-Payment of Wages]

15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-13 above, as though fully set forth herein.  
 16

17. Pursuant to Labor Code § 201, at the time Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment  
 18 Defendant was obligated to pay Plaintiff wages earned and unpaid. In violation of Labor Code § 201  
 19 and despite demand, Defendant failed and continues to refuse to pay Plaintiff. Because Plaintiff was  
 20 employed on a piece work basis and Defendant has failed and refused to provide the accounting  
 21 required by law for his last two days of work, Plaintiff is only able to estimate the sum he is owed.  
 22 Plaintiff estimates this to be \$400.00.

23. In addition, Defendant violated Labor Code minimum wage statutes when it failed to pay him  
 24 during his training period (between December 1, 2004 and January 30, 2005). Plaintiff estimates this  
 25 to be approximately 80 hours during the month of December 2004 and January 2005.  
 26

27. Plus, Defendant improperly charged back expenses from Plaintiff's wages and owes those now  
 28

1 as wages violating Labor Code § 222.

2 18. Pursuant to Labor Code § 218.5, Plaintiff requests that the Court award Plaintiff reasonable  
3 attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action.

4 19. Pursuant to Labor Code § 218.6, Plaintiff requests that the Court award Plaintiff interest on  
5 all due and unpaid wages, at the legal rate specified by Civil Code § 3289(b), accruing from the date  
6 the wages were due and payable.

7 20. The Defendant's failure to pay wages was willful in that Defendant knew that Plaintiff was  
8 owed wages, thus entitling Plaintiff to penalties under Labor Code §§ 203, which provides that an  
9 employee's wages shall continue as a penalty until paid or for a period of up to 30 days from the time  
10 they were due, whichever period is shorter.

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter described.

13 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
14 [Failure to Pay Overtime Wages]

15 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-20 above, as though fully set forth herein.

16 22. During the period of from January 30, 2005 through May 2, 2005, Plaintiff never was paid  
17 any wages for the time he worked in excess of eight hours.

18 23. Labor Code § 1198 provides that it is unlawful to employ persons for longer than the hours  
19 set by the Industrial Welfare Commission or under conditions prohibited by the applicable wage  
20 order.

21 24. At all times relevant herein, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9-2001 (8 Cal.  
22 Code Reg. § 11090) and Labor Code § 510(a) applied to Plaintiff's employment by Defendant and  
23 provide for employees employed for more than 8 hours a day or 40 hours in one week are supposed  
24 to be paid at the rate of time and one-half for hours in excess of 40 in one week.

25 25. Under the provisions of the Wage Order referred to in Paragraph 24, Plaintiff estimates that  
26 he should have received time and one-half for piece work in excess of 8 hours per day on as many  
27 as two days per week for the period between January 30, 2005 and May 2, 2005. Plaintiff is informed

1 and believes Defendant owes him a sum in an amount of at least \$200.00, representing the difference  
 2 between the amount of wages owed pursuant to the Wage Order and the amount actually paid to  
 3 Plaintiff. Defendants have failed and refused and continues to fail and refuse to pay Plaintiff the  
 4 amount owed.

5 26. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff for overtime rates on piece work jobs, as required by the  
 6 applicable Wage Order, violates the provision of Labor Code § 1198 and is therefore unlawful.

7 27. Pursuant to Labor Code § 1194(a), Plaintiff requests that the court award Plaintiff reasonable  
 8 attorney's fees and costs incurred by him in this action.

9 28. Pursuant to Labor Code § 558(a)(1), Plaintiff requests a civil penalty of \$50 for the seven pay  
 10 periods Plaintiff was underpaid for a total of **\$350.00**.

11 29. The Defendant's failure to pay wages was willful in that Defendant knew the Plaintiff was  
 12 owed wages, thus entitling Plaintiff to penalties under Labor Code § 203, which provides that an  
 13 employee's wages shall continue as a penalty until paid or for a period of up to 30 days from the time  
 14 they were due, whichever period is shorter.

15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter described.

16 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
 17 [Violations of Labor Code § 2802]

18 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-29 above, as though fully set forth herein.

19 31. While employed in the customary business of Defendants AC SQUARE, INC. and in the  
 20 direct consequence of the discharge of his duties, Plaintiff was required to expend his own monies  
 21 and in addition suffered losses to his own property for which Defendants must indemnify Plaintiff,  
 22 including but not limited to the purchase of a vehicle, vehicle maintenance, gas, tools, and equipment,  
 23 including safety belt and non-conducive ladder in a sum greater than \$3,618.23, to be proven at Trial,  
 24 all necessarily for conducting Defendant's business of cable television/computer installation.  
 25 Defendant has failed and refused to reimburse Plaintiff for such expenses, despite demand.

26 32. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2802, Plaintiff is entitled to indemnification for his necessarily  
 27 incurred expenses, plus interest from the date on which the expense was occurred, plus reasonable

1 costs and attorney's fees in recovering said sums.

2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter described.

3 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
 4 [Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy]

5 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-32 above, as though fully set forth herein.

6 34. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff by terminating him because he demanded wages to which  
 7 he is entitled from Defendant.

8 35. Such retaliation and discharge violates public policy of California according to Gould v.  
 9 Maryland Sound Indust. Inc., (1996) 31 Cal.App.4th 1137, 1150 and Labor Code § 98.6. Demandng  
 10 wages and reporting violations of wage law to management is a fundamental policy of this state. Id.

11 36. As a result of the aforesaid acts of Defendant, Plaintiff has become mentally upset, distressed,  
 12 and aggravated. Plaintiff claims general damages for such mental distress and aggravation, in an  
 13 amount to be determined later, and special damages to be ascertained for the cost of treatment to  
 14 relieve such injuries.

15 37. Defendant's act of discharge herein was malicious, fraudulent and oppressive, with the  
 16 wrongful intention of injury Plaintiff, and Defendant acted with an improper and evil motive  
 17 amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights; Plaintiff requests punitive  
 18 damages in a sum to be ascertained.

19 38. Plaintiff requests that the court award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred  
 20 by him in this action.

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter described.

22 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
 23 [Failure to Provide Personnel File, Copies and an Itemized Statement]

24 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-38 above, as though fully set forth herein.

25 40. On October 25, 2005, Plaintiff requested to see his employment file and get copies, pursuant  
 26 to Labor Code §§ 226(b), 432 and 1198.5.

1 41. Defendant AC SQUARE, INC. failed and refused to provide Plaintiff access to his file, nor  
2 has it responded to this request, violating Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226(f), 226.3, 433 and 1199(c).

3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:


- 4 1. For general damages in a sum to be ascertained;
- 5 2. For special damages, including compensatory damages for past lost wages,  
6 overtime pay, reimbursement of all improper deductions and chargebacks  
7 made, reimbursement for all expenses necessarily incurred by Plaintiff in the  
discharge of his duties for employer, in a sum to be ascertained;
- 8 3. For interest on the lost wages and overtime pay;
- 9 4. For penalties in the amount of 30 days pay for Plaintiff, pursuant to Labor  
Code § 203;
- 10 5. For additional civil penalties under Labor Code § 558(a)(1) totaling \$350.00  
11 and Labor Code § 226(e), 226(f) and 226.3 in amounts to be proven at Trial;
- 12 6. For attorney's fees in a sum to be ascertained pursuant to Labor Code  
§§ 218.5, 226(e), 1194 and 2802(c);
- 13 7. For exemplary or punitive damages;
- 14 8. For costs of suit herein incurred; and,
- 15 9. For such other further relief as the Court may deem proper.

16  
17 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

18 Plaintiff DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR hereby demands trial by jury.

19 DATED: June 28, 2006  
20

21 LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE R. BERNSTEIN

22 BY:   
23

24 BRUCE R. BERNSTEIN  
25 Attorneys for Plaintiff

26 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR

**EXHIBIT B**

CIV-118  
25/5/2007

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): <b>DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR</b> 2039 46th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116		TELEPHONE NO.: 415-566-4239	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>in propria persona</b>			
Insert name of court and name of judicial district and branch court, if any: <b>SAN MATEO SUPERIOR COURT</b>			
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: <b>DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR</b>			
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: <b>AC SQUARE, INC.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Family Law <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent Domain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): <b>Wage &amp; Hour Violations and Wrongful Term.</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>CIV 456118</b>	

- A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk unless a method of return is provided with the document. -

1. TO THE CLERK: Please dismiss this action as follows:

- a. (1)  With prejudice    (2)  Without prejudice
- b. (1)  Complaint    (2)  Petition
- (3)  Cross-complaint filed by (name):  
(4)  Cross-complaint filed by (name):  
(5)  Entire action of all parties and all causes of action  
(6)  Other (specify):\* **all causes of action except the fourth cause of action on which judgment pending**

on (date):

on (date):

Date: June 21, 2007

DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF  ATTORNEY  PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)

\* If dismissal requested is of specified parties only or specified causes of action only, or of specified cross-complaints only, so state and identify the parties, causes of action, or cross-complaints to be dismissed.

(SIGNATURE)

Attorney or party without attorney for:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner
- Defendant/Respondent
- Cross - complainant

2. TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissal is hereby given.\*\*

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF  ATTORNEY  PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)

\*\* If a cross-complaint or Response (Family Law) seeking affirmative relief is on file, the attorney for cross-complainant (respondent) must sign this consent if required by Code of Civil Procedure section 581 (i) or (j).

(SIGNATURE)

Attorney or party without attorney for:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner
- Defendant/Respondent
- Cross - complainant

(To be completed by clerk)

- 3.  Dismissal entered as requested on (date):
- 4.  Dismissal entered on (date):    as to only (name):
- 5.  Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify):
- 6.  a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date):  
b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filing party failed to provide  
     a copy to conformed  means to return conformed copy

Date:

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy \_\_\_\_\_

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR</b> 2039 46th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116		566-4239	POS-030 FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: <b>415-566-4239</b> E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>in propria persona</b>		FAX NO. (Optional):	
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>400 COUNTY CENTER</b> MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063</b> BRANCH NAME:			
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: <b>DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR</b>			
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: <b>AC SQUARE</b>			
<b>PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>CIV 456118</b>	

*(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.)*

1. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place.
2. My residence or business address is:  
**2039 46TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116**
3. On (date): **6/21/07** I mailed from (city and state): **San Francisco, CA**  
the following documents (specify):

**REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO ALL CAUSES EXCEPT FOURTH  
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL TERMINATION, as well as faxed to 408-288-5686**

4. The documents are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mail—Civil (Documents Served)* (form POS-030(D)).
5. I served the documents by enclosing them in an envelope and (check one):
  - depositing** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid.
  - placing** the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
6. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:
  - a. Name of person served: **Ronald A. Peters**
  - b. Address of person served:

**Littler Mendelson, 50 West San Fernando Street, 14th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113**

The name and address of each person to whom I mailed the documents is listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mail—Civil (Persons Served)* (POS-030(P)).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: June 21, 2007

**MAUREEN KEATING**

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

EXHIBIT C

06-18-07 10:56am From-LITTLER MENDELSON

408 820 8763

T-687 P.002/003 F-686

1 RONALD A. PETERS, Bar No. 169895  
 2 LITTLER MENDELSON  
 3 A. Professional Corporation  
 4 50 West San Fernando Street, 14th Floor  
 5 San Jose, CA 95113-2413  
 6 Telephone: 408.998.4150  
 7 Facsimile: 408.288.5686  
 8 rpeters@littler.com

9 Attorneys for Defendants  
 10 AC SQUARE, INC.

FILED  
 SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN 28 2007

Clerk of the Superior Court

BY DEPUTY CLERK

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 9 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

10  
 11 DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-  
 12 TRAYNOR,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.  
 15 AC SQUARE, INC., A California  
 16 Corporation, and DOES 1 through 20,  
 17 inclusive.

18 Defendants.

Case No. CIV 456118

19 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING THE  
 20 MOTION OF DEFENDANT AC SQUARE  
 21 INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
 22 ADJUDICATION TO PLAINTIFF'S  
 23 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR  
 24 WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN  
 25 VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

Date: June 8, 2007  
 Time: 9:00 A.M. - BY FAX  
 Dept.: 2F/28  
 Trial Date: June 25, 2007

26 The Motion for Summary Adjudication of AC Square, Inc. ("Defendant") came on regularly  
 27 for hearing before this Court in Department 2F, the Honorable George A. Miram presiding, on June  
 28, 2007. Defendant was represented by Ronald A. Peters, Esq.; Plaintiff Daniel Joseph Keating-  
 29 Traynor, ("Plaintiff") was representing himself in *pro per*. Plaintiff, while having requested oral  
 30 argument, did not appear at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court passed on the matter until the end  
 31 of the Court's calendar to permit Plaintiff additional time to appear and present oral argument, but  
 32 Plaintiff still did not appear, and the tentative ruling was therefore adopted.

33 After a full consideration of the evidence, a separate statement of each party, the authorities  
 34 submitted by counsel and Plaintiff, and after opportunity for oral argument was given, the Court  
 35 finds that there is no triable issue of material fact in connection with Plaintiff's Fourth Cause of



06-18-07 10:58am From-LITTLER MENDELSON

408 920 9763

T-587 P.003/003 F-686

1 Action for Termination in Violation of Public Policy and that Defendant is therefore entitled to  
2 summary adjudication as a matter of law under Code of Civil Procedure section 437c. The reasons  
3 for this determination are as follows:

4 1. Defendant's general objection to the declarations offered by Plaintiff are  
5 SUSTAINED. Declarations filed in support of and in opposition to summary judgment and  
6 adjudication must be based upon personal knowledge of the declarant under California Code of  
7 Civil Procedure 437c(d). The Plaintiff's and his Mother, Maureen Keating's declarations based  
8 upon "the best of my knowledge" are tantamount to information and belief declarations which have  
9 been expressly found to be insufficient to satisfy parties' burden on summary judgment and  
10 adjudication. See *Lopez v. University Partners* (1997) 54 Cal. App. 4<sup>th</sup> 1117, 1124. Given that the  
11 general objections of Defendant to Plaintiff's declarations were sustained the court did not consider  
12 particularized objections [also filed by Defendant to Plaintiff and Maureen Keating's declarations].

13 2. Defendant has demonstrated that there was no adverse employment action,  
14 and there is no proximate cause between Plaintiff's alleged discharge and his complaints regarding  
15 his paycheck. Defendant has also demonstrated that it had a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for  
16 allegedly discharging Plaintiff. Defendant has met its burden, and Plaintiff failed to demonstrate the  
17 existence of a trial issue of material fact. (Defendant's Undisputed Material Facts 1-40).

18 IT IS ORDERED THAT:

19 1. Defendant's General Objections to Plaintiff's and Maureen Keating's  
20 Declarations in support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication are hereby  
21 granted SUSTAINED.

22 2. The motion for summary adjudication as to each issue of Plaintiff's Fourth  
23 Cause of Action for Wrongful Termination In Violation Of Public Policy is hereby granted.

24 SO ORDERED:

26 DATED: JUN 22 2007

  
THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. MIRAM  
Judge of the Superior Court

2.

27 [PROP] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOT. FOR SUM. ADJUD.

28 Case No. 456118

SFRDOCS:30466885,1 035980.1002

EXHIBIT D

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): Ronald A. Peters SBN: 169895 Lilanthi P. Ravishankar SBN 243487 Littler Mendelson 50 W. San Fernando St., 15 <sup>th</sup> Fl., San Jose, CA 95113 TELEPHONE NO. 408.998.4150 FAX NO. (Optional) 408.288.5686 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional) rpeters@littler.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name) AC SQUARE, INC.		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Mateo STREET ADDRESS 400 County Center, Second Floor MAILING ADDRESS Redwood City, CA 94063 CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Jurisdiction		<b>ENDORSED FILED</b> <b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b> <b>APR 25 2008</b> <b>Clerk of the Superior Court</b> <b>By M. Javillonar</b> <b>DEPUTY CLERK</b>
PLAINTIFF: DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING TRAYNOR		CASE NUMBER: CIV 456118
DEFENDANT: AC SQUARE, INC.		
<b>JUDGMENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> By Clerk <input type="checkbox"/> By Default <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Court <input type="checkbox"/> On Stipulation <input type="checkbox"/> After Court Trial Defendant Did Not Appear at Trial		BY FAX

**JUDGMENT**1.  **BY DEFAULT**

- a. Defendant was properly served with a copy of the summons and complaint.
- b. Defendant failed to answer the complaint or appear and defend the action within the time allowed by law.
- c. Defendant's default was entered by the clerk upon plaintiff's application.
- d.  Clerk's Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(a)). Defendant was sued only on a contract or judgment of a court of this state for the recovery of money.
- e.  Court Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(b)). The court considered
  - (1)  plaintiff's testimony and other evidence.
  - (2)  plaintiff's written declaration (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(d)).

2.  **ON STIPULATION**

- a. Plaintiff and defendant agreed (stipulated) that a judgment be entered in this case. The court approved the stipulated judgment and
  - b.  the signed written stipulation was filed in the case.
  - c.  the stipulation was stated in open court       the stipulation was stated on the record.

3.  **AFTER COURT TRIAL.** The jury was waived. The court considered the evidence.

- a. The case was tried on (date and time):  
 before (name of judicial officer):  
 Appearances by:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff (name each): (1) (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff's attorney (name each): (1) (2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Continued on Attachment 3b.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant (name each): (1) (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant's attorney (name each): (1) (2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Continued on Attachment 3b.	
- c.  Defendant did not appear at trial. Defendant was properly served with notice of trial.
- d.  A statement of decision (Code Civ. Proc., § 632)       was not       was requested.

PLAINTIFF: DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING TRAYNOR	CASF NUMBER: CIV 456118
DEFENDANT: AC SQUARE, INC.	

JUDGMENT IS ENTERED AS FOLLOWS BY:  THE COURT  THE CLERK

4.  Stipulated Judgment. Judgment is entered according to the stipulation of the parties.

5. Parties. Judgment is

a.  for plaintiff (name each):

c.  for cross-complainant (name each):

and against defendant (names):

and against cross-defendant (name each):

Continued on Attachment 5a.

Continued on Attachment 5c.

b.  for defendant (name each): AC Square, Inc.

d.  for cross-defendant (name each):

6. Amount.

a.  Defendant named in item 5a above must pay plaintiff on the complaint:

c.  Cross-defendant named in item 5c above must pay cross-complainant on the cross-complaint:

(1) <input type="checkbox"/>	Damages	\$	
(2) <input type="checkbox"/>	Prejudgment interest at the annual rate of %	\$	
(3) <input type="checkbox"/>	Attorney fees	\$	
(4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Costs	\$	
(5) <input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):	\$	
(6) <b>TOTAL</b>		\$	0.00

(1) <input type="checkbox"/>	Damages	\$	
(2) <input type="checkbox"/>	Prejudgment interest at the annual rate of %	\$	
(3) <input type="checkbox"/>	Attorney fees	\$	
(4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Costs	\$	
(5) <input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):	\$	
(6) <b>TOTAL</b>		\$	0.00

b.  Plaintiff to receive nothing from defendant named in item 5b.

d.  Cross-complainant to receive nothing from cross-defendant named in item 5d.

Defendant named in item 5b to recover costs \$ 17,332.07

Cross-defendant named in item 5d to recover costs \$

and attorney fees \$

7.  Other (specify):

Date: APR 22 2008

MARK R. FORCUM

JUDICIAL OFFICER

Date:

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy

(SEAL)

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE (Optional)**

I certify that this is a true copy of the original judgment on file in the court.

Date:

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

I am employed in Santa Clara County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 50 West San Fernando St., 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Jose, CA. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On April 16, 2008, I placed with this firm at the above address for deposit with the United States Postal Service a true and correct copy of the within document(s);

**JUDGMENT; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; ORDER GRANTING JUDGMENT IN  
FAVOR OF DEFENDANT AC SQUARE, INC. AND MEMORANDUM OF COSTS**

in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows:

Daniel Joseph Keating-Traynor  
2039 46<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 16, 2008, at San Jose, California.

Pauline R. Lopez

Firmwide: 84928368 | 0470981007

9:00

5

CIV 456118

DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR VS AC SQUARE

DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR  
AC SQUARE, INC.PRO/PER  
RONALD A. PETERSMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT/SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES  
BY AC SQUARE, INC. AGAINST DANIEL JOSEPH KEATING-TRAYNOR.

- Defendants' general objection to the declarations offered by plaintiff are SUSTAINED. Declarations filed in support of and in opposition to summary judgment and adjudication must be based upon personal knowledge of the declarant under CCP 437c(d). The plaintiff's and his mother's declarations based upon "the best of my knowledge" are tantamount to Information and belief declarations which have been expressly found to be insufficient to satisfy parties' burden on summary judgment. See Lopez v. University Partners (1997) 54 Cal App 4<sup>th</sup> 1117, 1124. Given the fact that the objections are sustained as to the whole of the declarations, particularized objections shall not be considered.
- The Motion for Summary Adjudication as to the Fourth Cause of Action is GRANTED. Defendant has demonstrated that there was no adverse employment action, and there is no proximate cause between Plaintiff's alleged discharge and his complaints regarding his paycheck. Defendant has also demonstrated that it had a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for allegedly discharging Plaintiff. Defendant has met its burden, and Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate the existence of a triable issue of material fact. (Defendant's UMF 1-40)
- If the tentative ruling is uncontested, it shall become the order of the court, pursuant to Rule 3.1308(a)(1), adopted by Local Rule 3.10. Moving party shall prepare and submit to the court an order pursuant to the procedures contained in CRC 3.1312.

MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS  
DISCOVERY FILED BY AC SQUARE, INC.

- The Motion to compel Plaintiff's Responses to Discovery is GRANTED. Plaintiff is ordered to provide verified responses to the Demand for Inspection and

Special Interrogatories within ten days of the hearing date.

- Pursuant to CCP 2030.290(b) and CCP 2031.300(c) monetary sanctions are ordered payable by defendant to plaintiff within 15 days in the amount of \$340, consisting of 2.5 hours x \$120 per hour, plus \$40 filing fee.
- If the tentative ruling is uncontested, it shall become the order of the court, pursuant to Rule 3.1308(a)(1), adopted by Local Rule 3.10, effective immediately, and no formal order pursuant to rule 3.1312 or any other notice is required, as the tentative ruling affords sufficient notice to the parties.

EXHIBIT E

CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>DANIEL BERKO SBN 94912</b> <b>LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO</b> <b>819 EDDY STREET</b> <b>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109</b> <b>TELEPHONE NO. 415/771-6174</b>		FAX NO. 415/474-3748	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR</b>		RECEIVED	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO STREET ADDRESS: 400 COUNTY CENTER MAILING ADDRESS: REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 CITY AND ZIP CODE: UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION BRANCH NAME:		JUN 29 2007 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT SAN MATEO COUNTY	
CASE NAME: <b>DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR vs AC SQUARE et al.</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>08-464144</b>	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		Complex Case Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE: DEPT:
Items 1-5 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).			

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	---	--

2. This case  is  is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

- Large number of separately represented parties
- Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
- Substantial amount of documentary evidence

- Large number of witnesses
- Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
- Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision

## 3. Type of remedies sought (check all that apply):

- monetary
- nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief
- punitive

## 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5

5. This case  is  is not a class action suit.

## 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: 06/28/2007

DANIEL BERKO

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
<p><b>NOTICE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.</li> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.</li> <li>Unless this is a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.</li> </ul>	

Daniel Berko - SBN 94912  
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO  
819 Eddy Street  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
Telephone: 415-771-6174  
Facsimile: 415-474-3748  
E-mail: BerkoLaw@SBCglobal.net

**FILED**  
SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN 29 2007

By **GEORGE JACKSON**  
DEPUTY CLERK

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO  
UNLIMITED JURISDICTION**

**DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR** on  
behalf of himself and all others similarly  
situated.

Case No. Cr. 464144

**COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION,  
DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF**

**AC SQUARE, DOES 1 THROUGH 600,  
inclusive.**

## CLASS ACTION

### Defendants

Plaintiff DANIEL KEATING- TRAYNOR complains of Defendants and each of them as follows:

21 1. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant AC  
22 SQUARE, INC. and Does 1 through 600 install, disconnect, and upgrade cable television and  
23 computer services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast, a provider of  
24 cable television and computer services to consumers throughout California.

25       2. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 600 inclusive,  
26 and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on  
27 the basis of that information and belief alleges, that each of those defendants was in some  
28 manner legally responsible for the events, happenings, injuries and damages alleged in this  
COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

complaint.

3. In this complaint, when reference is made to any act of AC SQUARE, INC. (hereafter "AC") such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents, employees or representatives, of AC authorized, ratified, approved such acts, or negligently failed and omitted to supervise its employees and agents while engaged in the management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of the business organization and did so while acting within the course and scope of its employment or agency.

4. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated. The class plaintiff represents consists of all persons who were employed by AC as cable television and computer technicians and who install, upgrade, disconnect and provide similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast. Plaintiff KEATING worked as a technician and his job included the responsibilities to install, upgrade, disconnect and provide similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast. Plaintiff was formerly employed by AC as a cable television and computer technician for the purpose of installing, upgrading, disconnecting and providing similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast

5. There are well-defined common of questions of law and fact affecting the class Plaintiffs represent. The class members' claims against Defendants involve questions of common and general interest in that each and every class member worked as an installer of cable television and computer services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast, were not paid for overtime, were paid on a piecemeal basis, did not receive rest breaks and meal breaks as required by California law, had the cost of tolls and other items deducted from their wages, were not reimbursed for gas, cellphone bills, parking tickets or vehicle maintenance or damage all of which involved or occurred while working for AC. In addition, AC failed to pay each class member wages during all hours that they worked. Accordingly, the facts supporting the claim for each class member is identical or substantially similar for Plaintiff and each member of the class and the alleged breach and claim of liability is identical or substantially identical for each member of the class. These questions are such that proof of a state of facts

28 COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1 common to the class representatives and to members of the class will entitle each member of the  
2 class to the relief requested in this complaint.

3 6. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class, because  
4 plaintiff is a member of the class and plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the class.

5 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **(VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §17200)**

7 7. Plaintiff incorporates herein *in haec verba* all of the allegations, averments, and  
8 matters contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

9 8. Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq. prohibits any business from  
10 engaging in unfair competition which it defines as any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act  
11 or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising including any act prohibited by  
12 Business and Professions Code §17500.

13 9. AC'S refusal to pay class members the wages due to them, improper deductions from  
14 class members' paychecks, and its refusal to pay overtime due are each separately and  
15 collectively unfair and unlawful business practices.

16 10. Each class member is entitled to restitution of all money in which they have an  
17 ownership interest which constitutes either (1) the failure to pay wages due or (2) the failure to  
18 pay overtime due or (3) the failure to pay for time spent while employed by AC.

19 11. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to an Order or Injunction, prohibiting Defendant from  
20 continuing to engage in the conduct alleged here.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE 2802)**

23 12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations, averments and matters  
24 contained in paragraph 1 through 6 inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

25 28 **COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

13. While employed in the customary business of AC and in the direct consequence of  
1 their duties, class members were required to expend his or her own monies in direct consequence  
2 of the discharge of his or her duties, and in addition suffered losses to his or her own property for  
3 which Defendants must indemnify class members, including, but not limited to the purchase of a  
4 vehicle, vehicle maintenance, gas, tools, and equipment, including safety belts and other  
5 equipment.

7 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **(FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGES)**

9 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations, averments and matters  
10 contained in paragraph 1 through 6 inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

11 15. AC fails ands refuses to pay class members overtime for time worked in excess of eight  
12 hours per day or forty hours per week.

13 16. Labor Code 1198 provides that it is unlawful to employ persons for longer than the  
14 hours set by the Industrial Welfare Commission or under conditions prohibited by the applicable  
15 wage order.

17 17. At all times relevant herein, the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order No. 9-  
18 2001 (8 Cal. Code Reg. 11090) and Labor Code 510(a) applied to the employment of class  
19 members by Defendant. Said wage order and Labor Code section provide that any employee  
20 employed for more than 8 hours a day or 40 hours per week are to be paid at the rate on 1.5 times  
21 the normal hourly rate for hours in excess of 8 per day or 40 per week, and or double time under  
22 certain conditions.

24 18. Pursuant to Labor Code 1194(a), Plaintiffs are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees  
25 and costs.

26 19. Pursuant to Labor Code 558(a)(1), each class member is entitled to a civil penalty of  
27

28 **COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

\$50 for the initial work period that each class member was underpaid and \$100 for each successive period pay period that he or she was not paid overtime wages as required by law.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

##### **(FAILURE TO FURNISH INFORMATION REQUIRED BY LABOR CODE 226)**

20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in paragraph 1 through 6 inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

21. Defendant has willfully refused to semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, and (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one

22. Each class member is entitled to a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

##### **(ON BEHALF OF DANNY KEATING-TRAYNOR INDIVIDUALLY)**

##### **(FAILURE TO PAY WAGES DUE)**

23. Plaintiff incorporates herein all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in paragraphs 1- 3 above as if set forth at length *in haec verba*.

24. Plaintiff worked as a trainee for approximately 80 hours for which he was not paid. He is entitled to at least minimum wage plus any overtime for those hours.

28. COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1

2

**WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS PRAY JUDGMENT AS FOLLOW:**

3

**ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:**

4

1. General damages according to proof
2. Special damages according to proof;
3. Interest on all sums awarded;
4. Costs of suit;
5. Such other, and/or further relief as is just and proper.

10

11

Dated: June 28, 2007

12

13

---

DANIEL BERKO

14

Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY TRAYNOR-  
KEATING on behalf of themselves  
and all those similarly situated

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1  
2 **WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS PRAY JUDGMENT AS FOLLOW:**  
3

4 **ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:**

5 1. General damages according to proof  
6 2. Special damages according to proof;  
7 3. Interest on all sums awarded;  
8 4. Costs of suit;  
9 5. Such other, and/or further relief as is just and proper.

10 Dated: June 28, 2007  
11

12 DANIEL BERKO  
13 Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY TRAYNOR-  
14 KEATING on behalf of themselves  
15 and all those similarly situated

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION, DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

EXHIBIT E

**SUMMONS**  
**(CITACION JUDICIAL)**

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:****(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):**

AC SQUARE, INC.; COMCAST, INC.; AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1-60 inclusive

**YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:****(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):**

DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY  
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

**FILED**  
**SAN MATEO COUNTY**

JUN 10 2008

Clerk of the Superior Court

By   
BERNIE CLERK

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp)), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site ([www.lawhelpcalifornia.org](http://www.lawhelpcalifornia.org)), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp)), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp/espanol](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp/espanol)), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, ([www.lawhelpcalifornia.org](http://www.lawhelpcalifornia.org)), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, ([www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp/espanol](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seithelp/espanol)) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is:  
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

SAN MATEO SUPERIOR COURT  
400 COUNTY CENTER  
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

CASE NUMBER:

(Número) **CV 473571**

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:  
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

DATE: **JUN 10 2008** JOHN C. FITTON  
(Fecha)

Clerk, by   
(Secretario) , Deputy  
(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)  
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

**NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served**

1.  as an individual defendant.
2.  as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):  
under:  CCP 416.10 (corporation)  CCP 416.60 (minor)  
 CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)  CCP 416.70 (conservatee)  
 CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)  CCP 416.90 (authorized person)  
 other (specify):
3.  on behalf of (specify):
4.  by personal delivery on (date):



1 Daniel Berko - SBN 94912  
2 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO  
3 819 Eddy Street  
4 San Francisco, CA 94109  
5 Telephone: 415-771-6174  
6 Facsimile: 415-474-3748  
7 E-mail: BerkoLaw@SBCglobal.net

FILED  
SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN 10 2008

Clerk of the Superior Court  
By \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

D/B/b

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
6 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on behalf of himself  
and all others similarly situated

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9  
10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO  
11  
12 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

12 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on )  
13 behalf of himself and all others similarly )  
14 situated, )  
15 -vs- )  
16 AC SQUARE, INC.; COMCAST INC.; )  
17 AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW )  
18 BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1-60 )  
19 inclusive, )  
20 Defendants. )

Case No.

CV 473571  
COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION,  
DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF

CLASS ACTION

21 Plaintiff DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR complains of Defendants and each of them as  
22 follows:

23 1. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants AC  
24 SQUARE, INC., COMCAST INC, AFSHIN GHANEH, ANDREW BAHMANYAR and Does 1  
25 through 60 employ cable technicians who install, disconnect, and upgrade cable television and  
computer services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast, a provider of  
cable television and computer services to consumers throughout California.

26 2. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants DOES 1-60 inclusive, and  
27 therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on the basis  
28 of that information and belief alleges, that each of those defendants was in some manner legally

1 responsible for the events, happenings, injuries and damages alleged in this complaint. Plaintiff  
2 is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each of the Does 1-60 and all named  
3 Defendants encouraged, supported, aided, advised, agreed upon and abetted the violations that  
4 are alleged in this complaint.

5 3. In this complaint, when reference is made to any act of AC SQUARE, INC.  
6 (hereafter "AC") such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents,  
7 employees or representatives, of AC authorized, ratified, approved such acts, or negligently  
8 failed and omitted to supervise its employees and agents while engaged in the management,  
9 direction, operation or control of the affairs of the business organization and did so while acting  
10 within the course and scope of its employment or agency.

11 4. In this complaint, when reference is made to any act of COMCAST, INC.  
12 (hereafter "COMCAST") such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents,  
13 employees or representatives, of COMCAST authorized, ratified, approved such acts, or  
14 negligently failed and omitted to supervise its employees and agents while engaged in the  
15 management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of the business organization and did so  
16 while acting within the course and scope of its employment or agency.

17 5. Defendant AFSHIN GHANEH is responsible for the payroll and business practices of  
18 AC Square that are alleged herein. Afshin Ghaneh also owns AC Square. Defendant ANDREW  
19 BAHMANYAR is also responsible for the payroll and business practices of AC Square that are  
20 alleged herein.

21 6. Defendant Comcast conspired with and aided and abetted Defendants AC Square,  
22 Afshin Ghaneh and Andrew Bahmanyar and Does 1 through 60 in taking the actions alleged  
23 herein. moreover, by shifting responsibility for the installation of Comcast equipment to AC  
24 Square and knowingly allowing AC to systematically underpay its cable technicians including  
25 plaintiff and all class members, COMCAST was able to unfairly compete in the market place by  
26 reducing the true costs of installing and servicing its equipment through the use of laborers paid  
27 less than lawful wages.

28 7. Defendant COMCAST, Afshin Ghaneh and Andrew Bahmanyar and Does 1 through 60

1 aided, abetted, encouraged, supported, advised and benefited from AC Square's violation of  
2 California and federal wage and hour laws as alleged herein. In addition, Afshin Ghaneh has  
3 diverted to himself funds that should have been and were available to pay Plaintiff and all AC  
4 Square employees a lawful wage.

5 8. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf, and on behalf of all persons similarly  
6 situated. The class plaintiff represents consists of all persons who were employed by AC as  
7 cable television and computer technicians and who install, upgrade, disconnect and provide  
8 similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast. Plaintiff  
9 KEATING worked as a technician and his job included the responsibilities to install, upgrade,  
10 disconnect and provide similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of  
11 Comcast. Plaintiff was formerly employed by AC Square as a cable television and computer  
12 technician for the purpose of installing, upgrading, disconnecting and providing similar services  
13 to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast

14 9. There are well-defined common of questions of law and fact affecting the class  
15 Plaintiffs represent. The class members' claims against Defendants involve questions of common  
16 and general interest in that each and every class member (1) worked as an installer of cable  
17 television and computer services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast,  
18 (2)(a) were not paid for overtime either when he worked more than an 8 hour day, 2(b) or more  
19 than a forty hour week 2(c) worked the seventh day in a row 2(d) worked over eight hours on the  
20 seventh day, (3) were paid on a piecemeal basis, (4) did not receive rest breaks or meal breaks as  
21 required by California law, (5) were subject to improper deductions from their wages, and (6)  
22 were not reimbursed for gas, cell phone bills, parking tickets, and vehicle expenses including,  
23 but not limited to, insurance, vehicle repairs or vehicle maintenance or damage to their vehicles  
24 which involved work done for and/ or occurred while working for AC. In addition, (7) AC failed  
25 to pay each class member wages during all hours that they worked. In addition, (8) AC  
26 intentionally failed to pay all wages due when employees left the company. (9) Class members  
27 were not paid for split shifts as required by law. (10) AC required employees and all class  
28 members do work for no pay under various circumstances such as 10(a) staff meetings, 10(b)

1 picking up work orders and equipment, and 10(c) trips to customer locations where no customer  
 2 was present so as to allow an employee/class member to perform services for which he came to  
 3 the customer's location (except for payment of a \$1.00 fee). In addition, (11) AC failed to  
 4 provide information required to be on wage stubs under California law to all class members. (12)  
 5 In addition, AC and all other defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs for time spent transporting  
 6 COMCAST Equipment. Accordingly, the facts supporting the claim for each class member is  
 7 identical or substantially similar for Plaintiff and each member of the class and the alleged breach  
 8 and claim of liability is identical or substantially identical for each member of the class. These  
 9 questions are such that proof of a state of facts common to the class representatives and to  
 10 members of the class will entitle each member of the class to the relief requested in this  
 11 complaint.

12 10. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class, because plaintiff  
 13 is a member of the class and plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the class.

14 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **(CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §17200)**

16 11. Plaintiff incorporates herein *in haec verba* all of the allegations, averments, and  
 17 matters contained in paragraphs 1-10 above.

18 12. Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq. prohibits any business from engaging in  
 19 unfair competition which it defines as any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice  
 20 and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising including any act prohibited by Business  
 21 and Professions Code §17500.

22 13. AC Square's refusal to pay class members the wages due to them as alleged herein,  
 23 which conduct was done in concert and pursuant to agreement with Comcast, Afshin Ghaneh and  
 24 Andrew Bahmanyar, employees at Comcast, others, and Does 1 through 60, and which was  
 25 aided, abetted, ordered, supported and encouraged by all defendants, and its improper deductions  
 26 from class members' paychecks, are each separately and collectively unfair and unlawful  
 27

business practices.

14. Each class member is entitled to restitutionary damages which constitutes (1) the failure to pay wages due or (2) the failure to pay overtime due or (3) the failure to pay for time spent while employed by AC or (4) the failure to reimburse for expenses or (5) the failure to pay a split shift or show up premium when required by law and (6) all other failures to pay money due. Moreover, to the extent that Defendants, and any of them, received greater profits from their business than they otherwise would have had AC obeyed California Labor Laws, Defendants must disgorge all such profits to the extent necessary to pay Plaintiffs the money owed to them.

15. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to an Order or Injunction, prohibiting Defendants from continuing to engage in the conduct alleged here.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARD ACT)**

**(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

16. Plaintiff incorporates herein all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in paragraphs 1-10 and 12-15, inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

17. AC Square, Comcast, Afshin Ghaneh, Andrew Bahmanyar and Does 1 through 60 fail to pay overtime to class members even though it is clear that class members are entitled to overtime for each workweek that they work over 40 hours in a week.

18. AC Square, Comcast, Afshin Ghaneh and Andrew Bahmanyar's failure to pay overtime due to class members was a willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), because it would be impossible for Defendants not to be aware that the class members were not exempt from overtime requirements and yet they failed to pay overtime and continue to fail to pay overtime through the present time.

19. Because all Defendants willfully failed to comply with the FLSA, all Plaintiffs are

1 entitled to damages consisting of the overtime wages they should have been paid and liquidated  
2 damages in an amount equal to the unpaid overtime plus interest at the legal rate and reasonable  
3 attorney's fees incurred in enforcing the rights.

4 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **(CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE THE FAIR LABOR STANDARD ACT)**  
6 **(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

7 20. Plaintiff incorporates herein all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in  
8 paragraphs 1-10, 12-15 and 17-19, inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

9 21. Defendants and each of them combined together in a tacit and express agreement to  
10 knowingly and intentionally deprive Plaintiff and all class members of their rights to overtime  
11 pay as provided by the FLSA.

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(FAILURE TO PAY MONIES DUE AT TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT)**

14 22. Plaintiff incorporates herein all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in  
15 paragraphs 1-10, 12-15, 17-19 and 21, inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

16 23. Defendant AC Square, as to all class members who no longer work for it, willfully  
17 failed to pay all monies due at the termination of the employment relationship either immediately  
18 or within 72 hours.

19 24. Each class member who is no longer employed by AC Square is entitled to thirty day's  
20 wages in addition to all other relief.

22 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **(CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE LABOR CODE SECTION 558)**  
24 **(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

25 25. Plaintiff incorporates herein all of the allegations, averments and matters contained in  
26 paragraphs 1-10, 12-15, 17-19, 21, 23-24 inclusive as if set forth at length herein *in haec verba*.

27 26. Labor Code section 558 provides that any employer or other person acting on behalf of

1 the employer, who violates or causes to be violated any provision of chapter of the Labor Code  
2 regulating payment of wages or any provision regulating hours and days of work and any order of  
3 the Industrial Welfare Commission shall be liable for \$50.00 penalty for the first violation of the  
4 first pay period as to any employee and \$100.00 for each subsequent violation for each  
5 subsequent pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient  
6 to recover underpaid wages. Wages recovered under section 558 are the property of the  
7 underpaid employee.

8 27. By engaging in the conduct and omissions alleged herein, Defendants have intentionally  
9 violated numerous provisions of IWC wage orders and statutes resulting wages including but not  
10 limited to all those referenced in this complaint.

12 28. Each class member and each employee is entitled to all wages due to them pursuant to  
13 Labor Code §558.

14 29. Because the violations of the wage orders and Labor Code provisions relating to payment  
15 of wages was intentional, and Defendants knowingly took advantage of its employees and caused  
16 them substantial economic harm, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against all  
17 Defendants.

19 **WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS JUDGMENT AS FOLLOW:**


20 **ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:**

21 1. General damages according to proof;  
22 2. Special damages according to proof;  
23 3. Interest on all sums awarded;  
24 4. Costs of suit;  
25 5. Such other, and/or further relief as is just and proper.

27 **ON THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:**

6. Punitive Damages according to proof.

1 Dated: June 9, 2008



2 DANIEL BERKO, Attorney for Plaintiff  
3 DANNY KEATING-TRAYNOR, on behalf of themselves  
4 and all those similarly situated

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL DELIVERY

Hunting - Maynard

vs

**FILED**  
SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN 10 2008

~~Clerk of the Superior Court~~  
~~BY DEPUTY CLERK~~

AC Square

CASE # **CIV 473571**

DOCUMENTS

Endorsed filed copies of the Complaint, Summons, Notice of Case Management Conference and ADR Packet information.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I delivered back to the customer, a true copy of the foregoing documents. Executed on the above filed date at the Hall of Justice & Records in Redwood City, CA 94063.

By : **G. JACKSON**  
Deputy Court Clerk



Superior Court of California  
 County of San Mateo  
 Civil Department  
 400 County Center  
 Redwood City, CA 94063-1655  
 (650)363-4599  
[www.sanmateocourt.org](http://www.sanmateocourt.org)

DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR Plaintiff(s) vs. AC SQUARE, INC. Defendant(s)	<b>Notice of Complex Case Status Conference</b>  Case No. CIV 473571      Date: 08/12/08  Time: 9:00 AM  Dept. 21
Title: DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR VS AC SQUARE INC	

You are hereby given notice of your Complex Case Status Conference. The date, time and department have been written above. At this conference, the Presiding Judge will decide whether this action is a complex case within the meaning of California Rules of Court ("CRC"), Rule 3.400, subdivision (a) and whether it should be assigned to a single judge for all purposes.

1. In accordance with applicable **San Mateo County Local Rule 2.30**, you are hereby ordered to:
  - a. Serve copies of this notice, your Civil Case Cover Sheet, and your Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation on all named parties in this action no later than service of your first appearance pleadings.
  - b. Give **reasonable notice** of the Complex Case Status Conference to all named parties in this action, even if they have not yet made a first appearance or been formally served with the documents listed in subdivision (a). Such notice shall be given in the same manner as required for an ex parte application pursuant to CRC 3.1203.
2. **If you fail to follow the orders above, you are ordered to show cause why you should not be sanctioned. The Order To Show Cause hearing will be at the same time as the Complex Case Status Conference. Sanctions may include monetary, evidentiary or issue sanctions as well as striking pleadings and/or dismissal.**
3. An action is provisionally a complex case if it involves one or more of the following types of claims: (1) antitrust or trade regulation claims; (2) construction defect claims involving many parties or structures; (3) securities claims or investment losses involving many parties; (4) environmental or toxic tort claims involving many parties; (5) claims involving massive torts; (6) claims involving class actions; or (7) insurance coverage claims arising out of any of the claims listed in subdivisions (1) through (6). The Court shall treat a provisionally complex action as a complex case until the Presiding Judge has the opportunity to decide whether the action meets the definition in CRC 3.400(a).
4. Any party who files either a Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to CRC 3.401) or a counter or joinder Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to CRC 3.402, subdivision (b) or (c)), designating an action as a complex case in Items 1, 2 and/or 5, must also file an accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation in the form prescribed by the Court. The certificate must include supporting information showing a reasonable basis for the complex case designation being sought. Such supporting information may include, without limitation, a brief description of the following factors as they pertain to the particular action: (1) management of a large number of

separately represented parties; (2) complexity of anticipated factual and/or legal issues; (3) numerous pretrial motions that will be time-consuming to resolve; (4) management of a large number of witnesses or a substantial amount of documentary evidence; (5) coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states or countries or in a federal court; (6) whether or not certification of a putative class action will in fact be pursued; and (7) substantial post-judgment judicial supervision.

For further information regarding case management policies and procedures, see the court website at [www.sanmateocourt.org](http://www.sanmateocourt.org)

\* Telephonic appearances at Complex Case Status Conference are available by contacting CourtCall, LLC, an independent vendor, at least 5 business days prior to the scheduled conference.

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that I am the clerk of this Court, not a party to this cause; that I served a copy of this notice on the below date, by placing a copy thereof in separate sealed envelopes addressed to the address shown by the records of this Court, and by then sealing said envelopes and depositing same, with postage fully pre-paid thereon, in the United States Mail at Redwood City, California.

Date: 06/10/08

John C. Fitton,  
Court Executive Officer/Clerk

By: GEORGE JACKSON  
Deputy Clerk

Copies mailed to:

DANIEL BERKO  
819 EDDY STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109

## NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

*Meeting - May 19***FILED**

SAN MATEO COUNTY

vs.

JUN 10 2008

Case No. **CIV 473571**Date: **OCT 24 2008**

Time: 9:00 a.m.

*AC Signatures*

Clerk of the Superior Court

By *Deputy Clerk*

Dept. 3 - on Tuesday &amp; Thursday

Dept. 28 - on Wednesday &amp; Friday

You are hereby given notice of your Case Management Conference. The date, time and department have been written above.

1. In accordance with applicable California Rules of Court and Local Rules 2.3(d)1-4 and 2.3(m), you are hereby ordered to:
  - a. Serve all named defendants and file proofs of service on those defendants with the court within 60 days of filing the complaint (CRC 201.7).
  - b. Serve a copy of this notice, Case Management Statement and ADR Information Sheet on all named parties in this action.
  - c. File and serve a completed Case Management Statement at least 15 days before the Case Management Conference [CRC 212(g)]. Failure to do so may result in monetary sanctions.
  - d. Meet and confer, in person or by telephone, to consider each of the issues identified in CRC 212(f) no later than 30 days before the date set for the Case Management Conference.

2. If you fail to follow the orders above, you are ordered to show cause why you should not be sanctioned. The Order To Show Cause hearing will be at the same time as the Case Management Conference hearing. Sanctions may include monetary, evidentiary or issue sanctions as well as striking pleadings and/or dismissal.

3. Continuances of case management conferences are highly disfavored unless good cause is shown.
4. Parties may proceed to an appropriate dispute resolution process ("ADR") by filing a Stipulation To ADR and Proposed Order (see attached form). If plaintiff files a Stipulation To ADR and Proposed Order electing to proceed to judicial arbitration, the Case Management Conference will be taken off the court calendar and the case will be referred to the Arbitration Administrator. If plaintiffs and defendants file a completed stipulation to another ADR process (e.g., mediation) 10 days prior to the first scheduled case management conference, the case management conference will be continued for 90 days to allow parties time to complete their ADR session. The court will notify parties of their new case management conference date.
5. If you have filed a default or a judgment has been entered, your case is not automatically taken off the Case Management Conference Calendar. If "Does", "Roes", etc. are named in your complaint, they must be dismissed in order to close the case. If any party is in bankruptcy, the case is stayed only as to that named party.
6. You are further ordered to appear in person\* (or through your attorney of record) at the Case Management Conference noticed above. You must be thoroughly familiar with the case and fully authorized to proceed.
7. The Case Management judge will issue orders at the conclusion of the conference that may include:
  - a. Referring parties to voluntary ADR and setting an ADR completion date;
  - b. Dismissing or severing claims or parties;
  - c. Setting a trial date.
8. The Case Management judge may be the trial judge in this case.

For further information regarding case management policies and procedures, see the court website at [www.sanmateocourt.org](http://www.sanmateocourt.org).

\* Telephonic appearances at case management conferences are available by contacting CourtCall, LLC, an independent vendor, at least 5 business days prior to the scheduled conference (see attached CourtCall information).

# Complaints/Parties

Home      Complaints/Parties      Actions  
Pending Hearings      Images      Case Report

## Case CIV473571 - DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR VS AC SQUARE INC

Complaint Number: 1

Complaint Type: COMPLAINT

Filing Date: 06/10/2008

Complaint Status: ACTIVE

Party Number	Party Type	Party Name	Attorney	Party Status
1	PLAINTIFF	DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR	BERKO, DANIEL	First Paper Fee Paid
2	DEFENDANT	AC SQUARE, INC.	Unrepresented	Serve Required (WaitS)
3	DEFENDANT	COMCAST INC.	Unrepresented	Serve Required (WaitS)
4	DEFENDANT	AFSHIN GHANEH	Unrepresented	Serve Required (WaitS)
5	DEFENDANT	ANDREW BAHMANYAR	Unrepresented	Serve Required (WaitS)



# Actions



[Home](#)      [Complaints/Parties](#)      [Actions](#)  
[Pending Hearings](#)      [Images](#)      [Case Report](#)

**Case CIV473571 - DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR VS AC SQUARE INC**

[Move To This Date](#)

Viewed	Date	Action Text	Disposition	Image
N	10/24/2008 9:00 AM DEPT. 28	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
N	08/12/2008 9:00 AM DEPT. PJLM	COMPLEX CASE STATUS CONFERENCE		
	06/10/2008	COMPLEX LITIGATION FEE OF \$550.00 RECEIVED FROM DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR (PLAINTIFF).	-	
N	06/10/2008	CIVIL CASE COVERSHEET RECEIVED	-	
N	06/10/2008	AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL DELIVERY BY G JACKSON FILED	-	
N	06/10/2008	30 DAY SUMMONS, ISSUED AND FILED.	-	
N	06/10/2008	(S) COMPLAINT FILED	-	

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>DANIEL BERKO</b> LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO 819 EDDY STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109 TELEPHONE NO.: 415/771-6174 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR</b>		SBN 94912	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO STREET ADDRESS 400 COUNTY CENTER MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 BRANCH NAME: UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		<b>RECEIVED</b> <b>JUN 10 2008</b> <b>CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT</b> <b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>	
CASE NAME: <b>DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR -vs- AC SQUARE et al.</b>			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)      (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)		<input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	CN 473571 JUDGE: DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:		
Auto Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)

2. This case  is  not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

- a.  Large number of separately represented parties
- b.  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
- c.  Substantial amount of documentary evidence
- d.  Large number of witnesses
- e.  Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
- f.  Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision

3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.  monetary   b.  nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief   c.  punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5

5. This case  is  not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: 06/10/2008  
DANIEL BERKO

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

**NOTICE**

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

**EXHIBIT G**

1 RONALD A. PETERS, Bar No. 169895  
 2 BENJAMIN A. EMMERT, Bar No. 212157  
 3 LITTLER MENDELSON  
 4 A Professional Corporation  
 5 50 West San Fernando Street  
 6 15th Floor  
 7 San Jose, CA 95113-2303  
 8 Telephone: 408.998.4150  
 9 Facsimile: 408.288.5686  
 10 E-Mail: rpeters@littler.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
 12 AC SQUARE, INC.

**ENDORSED FILED**  
 SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN 19 2008

Clerk of the Superior Court  
 By Siolo S. Sala  
 DEPUTY CLERK

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 9  
 10

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

11 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR, on  
 12 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
 13 situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 AC SQUARE, DOES 1 THROUGH 600,  
 17 inclusive,

18 Defendants.

19 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR, on  
 20 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
 21 situated,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 AC SQUARE, INC.; COMCAST INC.;  
 25 AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW  
 26 BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1 THROUGH  
 27 60, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

Case No. CIV 464144

CIV  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING  
 RELATED ACTIONS CIV 464144 AND CIV  
 473571

Date: June 18, 2008  
 Time: 4:00 p.m.  
 Dept.: 1  
 Honorable Carol L. Mittlesteadt

Case No. CIV 473571

25 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN the action entitled *Daniel Keating-Traynor, on behalf*  
 26 *of himself and all other similarly situated, Plaintiffs vs. AC Square, Inc.; Comcast, Inc.; Afsin*  
 27 *Ghaneh; Andrew Bahmanyar; and Does 1 through 60 inclusive, Defendants, San Mateo County*  
 28 *Superior Court number CIV 473571, filed June 10, 2008 is hereby consolidated for all purposes with*

Case No. CIV 464144

1 the action entitled *Daniel Keating-Traynor, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,*  
2 *Plaintiffs, vs. AC Square, Inc., Does 1 through 600 inclusive, Defendants, San Mateo County*  
3 Superior Court number CIV 464144, filed June 29, 2007. All documents shall be filed under the  
4 case number of the case filed first, case number CIV 464144.

5  
6 Dated: JUN 18 2008

CAROL MITTLESTEADT

7 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
8  
9  
10 Firmwide:85604138.1 047098.1008  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

EXHIBIT H

1 RONALD A. PETERS, Bar No. 169895  
 2 BENJAMIN EMMERT, Bar No. 212157  
 3 LILANTHI RAVISHANKAR, Bar No. 243487  
 4 LITTLER MENDELSON  
 5 A Professional Corporation  
 6 50 West San Fernando Street  
 7 15th Floor  
 8 San Jose, CA 95113-2303  
 9 Telephone: 408.998.4150  
 Facsimile: 408.288.5686  
 E-Mail: rpeters@littler.com  
 bemmert@littler.com  
 lravishankar@littler.com

10 Attorneys for Defendants  
 11 AC SQUARE, INC. AFSHIN GHANEH,  
 12 ANDREW BAHMANYAR

ORIGINAL FILED  
 JUN 20 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDL

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on  
 14 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
 situated;

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 AC SQUARE INC.; COMCAST INC.;  
 18 AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW  
 19 BAHMANYAR; AND DOES 1-60  
 INCLUSIVE;

20 Defendants.

CV 08 3035  
 Case No. CIV 464144 (CONSOLIDATED BY  
ORDER OF COURT WITH CIV 473571

21 NOTICE OF FILING REMOVAL

22 TO PLAINTIFF, AND HIS ATTORNEY OF RECORD, ROBERT DAVID BAKER, ESQ.

23 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that AC SQUARE, INC. AFSHIN GHANEH AND  
 24 ANDREW BAHMANYAR Defendants in Action No., CIV 473571 consolidated into CIV 464144,  
 25 Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Mateo, have filed in the United States  
 26 District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, a Notice of Removal of Civil  
 27 Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441 and 1446.

1 attached as Exhibit A.

2 PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1446, the  
3 filing of said Notice of Removal of action in federal court, together with the filing of a copy of said  
4 Notice to State Court and Adverse Party of Removal of Civil Action to Federal Court with the Clerk  
5 of this state court, effects the removal of this action, and the state court may therefore proceed no  
6 further unless and until the case is remanded.

7 Dated: June 19, 2008

8  
9  
10 RONALDA A. PETERS  
11 BENJAMIN EMMERT  
12 LILANTHI RAVISHANKAR  
13 LITTLER MENDELSON  
14 A Professional Corporation  
15 Attorneys for Defendants  
16 AC SQUARE INC., AFSHIN GHANEH,  
17 AND ANDREW BAHMANYAR  
18  
19  
20 Firmwide:85614507.1 047098.1008  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2.

---

NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND ADVERSE PARTY OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION  
TO FEDERAL COURT

**EXHIBIT I**

1 Daniel Berko - SBN 94912  
 2 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO  
 3 819 Eddy Street  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94109  
 5 Telephone: 415-771-6174  
 6 Facsimile: 415-474-3748  
 7 E-mail: BerkoLaw@SBCglobal.net

FILED

08 JUN 11 PM 12:50

RICHARD W. WICKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-filing

8  
 9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
 10 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on behalf of himself  
 11 and all others similarly situated  
 12  
 13  
 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MHI

CV 08  
CASE NO.

2907

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR  
VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR  
STANDARDS ACT

CLASS ACTION 29 USC 216(b)

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL  
ON ALL ISSUES

12 DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on  
 13 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
 14 situated, Plaintiff,  
 15 vs.  
 16 AC SQUARE, COMCAST INC.;  
 17 AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW  
 18 BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1  
 19 THROUGH 60, inclusive,  
 20 Defendants.

21 Plaintiff DANIEL KEATING- TRAYNOR complains of Defendants and each of them as  
 22 follows:

23 1. This court has jurisdiction over this case because it is an action brought pursuant to  
 24 the *Fair Labor Standards Act*, 29 USC §§ 201- 219. Plaintiff and the class members each have  
 25 a right to bring an action under the FLSA pursuant to 29USC216(b).

26 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants AC SQUARE,  
 27 INC., COMCAST, INC. AFSHIN GHANEH, ANDREW BAHMANYAR and Docs 1 through  
 28 60 employ technicians who install, disconnect, and upgrade cable television, computer and other

1 setting corporate policy, have operation control of AC's payroll and business practices, including  
2 but not limited to failing to pay overtime compensation even though it is clearly and  
3 unquestionably due to class members.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
5. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 60 inclusive, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on the basis of that information and belief alleges, that each of those defendants was in some manner legally responsible for the events, happenings, injuries and damages alleged in this complaint.

6. In this complaint, when reference is made to any act of AC SQUARE, INC., (hereafter "AC") such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents, employees or representatives, of AC authorized, ratified, approved such acts, or negligently failed and omitted to supervise its employees and agents while engaged in the management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of the business organization and did so while acting within the course and scope of its employment or agency.

7. In this complaint, when reference is made to any act of COMCAST INC. (hereafter "COMCAST") such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents, employees or representatives, of COMCAST authorized, ratified, approved such acts, or negligently failed and omitted to supervise its employees and agents while engaged in the management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of the business organization and did so while acting within the course and scope of its employment or agency

8. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated. The class plaintiff represents consists of all persons who were directly employed by AC as cable television and computer technicians and who install, upgrade, disconnect and provide

similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast. Plaintiff  
1 KEATING worked as a technician and his job included the responsibilities to install, upgrade,  
2 disconnect and provide similar services to consumers who use the services and equipment of  
3 Comcast. Plaintiff was formerly employed by AC as a cable television and computer technician  
4 for the purpose of installing, upgrading, disconnecting and providing similar services to  
5 consumers who use the services and equipment of Comcast  
6

7 9. There are well-defined common of questions of law and fact affecting the class  
8 Plaintiffs represent. The class members' claims against Defendants involve questions of common  
9 and general interest in that each and every class member worked as an installer of cable  
10 television, computer and electronic services to consumers who use the services and equipment of  
11 Comcast, were not paid for overtime, were paid on a piecemeal basis, were not reimbursed for  
12 gas, cellphone bills, parking tickets or vehicle maintenance or damage all of which involved or  
13 occurred while working for AC. In addition, AC failed to pay each class member wages during  
14 all hours that they worked. Accordingly, the facts supporting the claim for each class member is  
15 identical or substantially similar for Plaintiff and each member of the class and the alleged breach  
16 and claim of liability is identical or substantially identical for each member of the class. These  
17 questions are such that proof of a state of facts common to the class representatives and to  
18 members of the class will entitle each member of the class to the relief requested in this  
19 complaint.

20 10. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class, because plaintiff  
21 is a member of the class and plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the class.  
22

23 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
24 **(VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARD ACT)**  
25 **(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

11. Plaintiff incorporates herein *in haec verba* all of the allegations, averments, and  
1 matters contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 above.

12. AC, COMCAST, AFSHIN GHANEH, ANDREW BAHMANYAR and Does 1 through  
3 60 fail to pay overtime to class members even though it is clear that class members are entitled to  
4 overtime for each workweek that they work over 40 hours in a week.  
5

6 13. AC'S, COMCAST'S, AFSHIN GHANEH'S and ANDREW BAHMANYAR'S  
7 failure to pay overtime due to class members was a willful violation of the FLSA because it  
8 would be impossible for defendants not to be aware that the class members were not exempt  
9 from overtime requirements and yet they failed to pay overtime and continue to fail to pay  
10 overtime through the present time.  
11

12 14. Because all Defendants willfully failed to comply with the FLSA, all Plaintiffs are  
13 entitled to damages consisting of the overtime wages they should have been paid and liquidated  
14 damages in an amount equal to the unpaid overtime plus interest at the legal rate and reasonable  
15 attorney's fees incurred in enforcing the rights.  
16

17 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
18 **(CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE THE FAIR LABOR STANDARD ACT)**  
19 **(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

20 15. Plaintiff incorporates herein *in haec verba* all of the allegations, averments, and matters  
21 contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 above.  
22


23 16. Defendants and each of them combined together in a tacit and express agreement to  
24 knowingly and intentionally deprive Plaintiff and all class members of their rights to overtime  
25 pay as provided by the FLSA  
26

27 **WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS PRAY JUDGMENT AS FOLLOW:**  
28

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:

- 1      1. General damages according to proof in an amount that is yet to be ascertained;
- 2      2. Special damages according to proof in an amount that is yet to be ascertained;
- 3      3. Interest on all sums awarded including prejudgment interest;
- 4      4. Costs of suit;
- 5      5. A reasonable attorney's fee;
- 6      6. Such other, and/or further relief as is just and proper.


7      Dated: June 9, 2008

8        
9      DANIEL BERKO  
10     Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY TRAYNOR-  
11     KEATING on behalf of themselves  
12     and all those similarly situated

13     **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

14     Plaintiff on behalf of himself and each member of the class demands a jury trial on all issues.

15     Dated: June 9, 2008

16       
17     DANIEL BERKO  
18     Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY TRAYNOR-  
19     KEATING on behalf of themselves  
20     and all those similarly situated

**EXHIBIT J**

JUN 27 2008

1 **Daniel Berko - SBN 94912**  
2 **LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL BERKO**  
3 **819 Eddy Street**  
4 **San Francisco, CA 94109**  
5 **Telephone: 415-771-6174**  
6 **Facsimile: 415-474-3748**  
7 **E-mail: BerkoLaw@SBCglobal.net**

5 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs,**  
6 **DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on behalf of himself**  
7 **and all others similarly situated**

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

9 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,** ) **CASE NO: CV-08-3035-EDL**  
12 ) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**  
13 **Plaintiff,** ) **CONSIDER WHETHER CASES**  
14 **vs.** ) **SHOULD BE RELATED**  
15 **AC SQUARE, COMCAST INC.;** )  
16 **AFSHIN GHANEH; ANDREW** )  
17 **BAHMANYAR; and DOES 1** )  
18 **THROUGH 60, inclusive,** )  
19 **Defendants.** )  
20 \_\_\_\_\_

21 **TO EACH PARTY AND TO THE COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY:**

22 Plaintiff hereby gives notice, as required in Civil Local Rule 3-12(b), of the following case  
23 related to this action:


24 1. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
25 CALIFORNIA; DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR vs AC SQUARE et al; with case  
26 number **CV-08-02907-MHP** filed on Federal Court on June 11, 2008

27 These cases are related because they discuss the same claims of unlawful, unfair and fraudulent  
28 business practices against the same Defendants.

1 The same Plaintiff DANNY KEATING-TRAYNOR, was in both cases, an employee for  
2 Defendant AC SQUARE.

3 Counsel opines that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or  
4 conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges.

5  
6 Date: June 25, 2008

7   
8 Daniel Berko,  
9 Attorney for Plaintiff Daniel Keating-Traynor in  
10 behalf of himself and all other similarly situated.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR vs AC SQUARE CV-08-03035-EDL**  
 2 **District Court for the Northern District of California**

3 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

4 I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within  
 5 action. My business address is 819 Eddy Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. On **Jun 25, 2008** I served a  
 6 true copy of the following document(s):

7 **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED**

8

9  By transmitting **via facsimile** the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date  
 10  before 5:00 p.m.

11  By causing to be **personally delivered** the documents listed above by a messenger service, at the addresses  
 12  set forth below on this date.

13  By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, at a station designated for collection and  
 14 processing of envelopes and packages for **overnight delivery** on next business day, addressed as set forth  
 15 below.

16  By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in **United**  
 17 **States mail** at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth below.

<b>Ronal A. Peters</b> <b>Littler Mendelson</b> <b>50 West San Fernando St. 15th Floor</b> <b>San Jose, CA 94113-2303</b>	<b>COMCAST, INC.</b> <b>An. Andrew C. Topping</b> <b>1701 John F. Kennedy Blvd.</b> <b>15th Floor (Legal Department)</b> <b>Philadelphia, PA 19103</b>

18  
 19 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for  
 20 mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with  
 21 postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party  
 22 served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day  
 23 after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

24  
 25 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true  
 26 and correct. Executed on **Jun 25, 2008** at San Francisco, California.

27  
 28   
 Carlos Jato

1  
**DANIEL KEATING-TRAYNOR vs AC SQUARE et al C08-02907-MHP**  
 District Court for the Northern District of California

2  
**PROOF OF SERVICE**

3  
 I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within  
 4 action. My business address is 819 Eddy Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. On **Jun 25, 2008** I served a  
 5 true copy of the following document(s):

6  
**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED**

7  
 8  
 9      By transmitting **via facsimile** the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date  
 10    before 5:00 p.m.

11     By causing to be **personally delivered** the documents listed above by a messenger service, at the addresses  
 12    set forth below on this date.

13     By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, at a station designated for collection and  
 14    processing of envelopes and packages for **overnight delivery** on next business day, addressed as set forth  
 15    below.

16     By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in **United**  
 17    **States mail** at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth below.

18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22

<b>Ronal A. Peters</b> <b>Littler Mendelson</b> <b>50 West San Fernando St. 15th Floor</b> <b>San Jose, CA 94113-2303</b>	<b>COMCAST, INC.</b> <b>ATT. Andrew C. Topping</b> <b>1701 John F. Kennedy Blvd.</b> <b>15th Floor (Legal Department)</b> <b>Philadelphia, PA 19103</b>

23    I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for  
 24 mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with  
 25 postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party  
 26 served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day  
 27 after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

28    I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true  
 29 and correct. Executed on **Jun 25, 2008** at San Francisco, California.

30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65  
 66  
 67  
 68  
 69  
 70  
 71  
 72  
 73  
 74  
 75  
 76  
 77  
 78  
 79  
 80  
 81  
 82  
 83  
 84  
 85  
 86  
 87  
 88  
 89  
 90  
 91  
 92  
 93  
 94  
 95  
 96  
 97  
 98  
 99  
 100  
 101  
 102  
 103  
 104  
 105  
 106  
 107  
 108  
 109  
 110  
 111  
 112  
 113  
 114  
 115  
 116  
 117  
 118  
 119  
 120  
 121  
 122  
 123  
 124  
 125  
 126  
 127  
 128  
 129  
 130  
 131  
 132  
 133  
 134  
 135  
 136  
 137  
 138  
 139  
 140  
 141  
 142  
 143  
 144  
 145  
 146  
 147  
 148  
 149  
 150  
 151  
 152  
 153  
 154  
 155  
 156  
 157  
 158  
 159  
 160  
 161  
 162  
 163  
 164  
 165  
 166  
 167  
 168  
 169  
 170  
 171  
 172  
 173  
 174  
 175  
 176  
 177  
 178  
 179  
 180  
 181  
 182  
 183  
 184  
 185  
 186  
 187  
 188  
 189  
 190  
 191  
 192  
 193  
 194  
 195  
 196  
 197  
 198  
 199  
 200  
 201  
 202  
 203  
 204  
 205  
 206  
 207  
 208  
 209  
 210  
 211  
 212  
 213  
 214  
 215  
 216  
 217  
 218  
 219  
 220  
 221  
 222  
 223  
 224  
 225  
 226  
 227  
 228  
 229  
 230  
 231  
 232  
 233  
 234  
 235  
 236  
 237  
 238  
 239  
 240  
 241  
 242  
 243  
 244  
 245  
 246  
 247  
 248  
 249  
 250  
 251  
 252  
 253  
 254  
 255  
 256  
 257  
 258  
 259  
 260  
 261  
 262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267  
 268  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276  
 277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308  
 309  
 310  
 311  
 312  
 313  
 314  
 315  
 316  
 317  
 318  
 319  
 320  
 321  
 322  
 323  
 324  
 325  
 326  
 327  
 328  
 329  
 330  
 331  
 332  
 333  
 334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346  
 347  
 348  
 349  
 350  
 351  
 352  
 353  
 354  
 355  
 356  
 357  
 358  
 359  
 360  
 361  
 362  
 363  
 364  
 365  
 366  
 367  
 368  
 369  
 370  
 371  
 372  
 373  
 374  
 375  
 376  
 377  
 378  
 379  
 380  
 381  
 382  
 383  
 384  
 385  
 386  
 387  
 388  
 389  
 390  
 391  
 392  
 393  
 394  
 395  
 396  
 397  
 398  
 399  
 400  
 401  
 402  
 403  
 404  
 405  
 406  
 407  
 408  
 409  
 410  
 411  
 412  
 413  
 414  
 415  
 416  
 417  
 418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450  
 451  
 452  
 453  
 454  
 455  
 456  
 457  
 458  
 459  
 460  
 461  
 462  
 463  
 464  
 465  
 466  
 467  
 468  
 469  
 470  
 471  
 472  
 473  
 474  
 475  
 476  
 477  
 478  
 479  
 480  
 481  
 482  
 483  
 484  
 485  
 486  
 487  
 488  
 489  
 490  
 491  
 492  
 493  
 494  
 495  
 496  
 497  
 498  
 499  
 500  
 501  
 502  
 503  
 504  
 505  
 506  
 507  
 508  
 509  
 510  
 511  
 512  
 513  
 514  
 515  
 516  
 517  
 518  
 519  
 520  
 521  
 522  
 523  
 524  
 525  
 526  
 527  
 528  
 529  
 530  
 531  
 532  
 533  
 534  
 535  
 536  
 537  
 538  
 539  
 540  
 541  
 542  
 543  
 544  
 545  
 546  
 547  
 548  
 549  
 550  
 551  
 552  
 553  
 554  
 555  
 556  
 557  
 558  
 559  
 560  
 561  
 562  
 563  
 564  
 565  
 566  
 567  
 568  
 569  
 570  
 571  
 572  
 573  
 574  
 575  
 576  
 577  
 578  
 579  
 580  
 581  
 582  
 583  
 584  
 585  
 586  
 587  
 588  
 589  
 590  
 591  
 592  
 593  
 594  
 595  
 596  
 597  
 598  
 599  
 600  
 601  
 602  
 603  
 604  
 605  
 606  
 607  
 608  
 609  
 610  
 611  
 612  
 613  
 614  
 615  
 616  
 617  
 618  
 619  
 620  
 621  
 622  
 623  
 624  
 625  
 626  
 627  
 628  
 629  
 630  
 631  
 632  
 633  
 634  
 635  
 636  
 637  
 638  
 639  
 640  
 641  
 642  
 643  
 644  
 645  
 646  
 647  
 648  
 649  
 650  
 651  
 652  
 653  
 654  
 655  
 656  
 657  
 658  
 659  
 660  
 661  
 662  
 663  
 664  
 665  
 666  
 667  
 668  
 669  
 670  
 671  
 672  
 673  
 674  
 675  
 676  
 677  
 678  
 679  
 680  
 681  
 682  
 683  
 684  
 685  
 686  
 687  
 688  
 689  
 690  
 691  
 692  
 693  
 694  
 695  
 696  
 697  
 698  
 699  
 700  
 701  
 702  
 703  
 704  
 705  
 706  
 707  
 708  
 709  
 710  
 711  
 712  
 713  
 714  
 715  
 716  
 717  
 718  
 719  
 720  
 721  
 722  
 723  
 724  
 725  
 726  
 727  
 728  
 729  
 730  
 731  
 732  
 733  
 734  
 735  
 736  
 737  
 738  
 739  
 740  
 741  
 742  
 743  
 744  
 745  
 746  
 747  
 748  
 749  
 750  
 751  
 752  
 753  
 754  
 755  
 756  
 757  
 758  
 759  
 760  
 761  
 762  
 763  
 764  
 765  
 766  
 767  
 768  
 769  
 770  
 771  
 772  
 773  
 774  
 775  
 776  
 777  
 778  
 779  
 780  
 781  
 782  
 783  
 784  
 785  
 786  
 787  
 788  
 789  
 790  
 791  
 792  
 793  
 794  
 795  
 796  
 797  
 798  
 799  
 800  
 801  
 802  
 803  
 804  
 805  
 806  
 807  
 808  
 809  
 810  
 811  
 812  
 813  
 814  
 815  
 816  
 817  
 818  
 819  
 820  
 821  
 822  
 823  
 824  
 825  
 826  
 827  
 828  
 829  
 830  
 831  
 832  
 833  
 834  
 835  
 836  
 837  
 838  
 839  
 840  
 841  
 842  
 843  
 844  
 845  
 846  
 847  
 848  
 849  
 850  
 851  
 852  
 853  
 854  
 855  
 856  
 857  
 858  
 859  
 860  
 861  
 862  
 863  
 864  
 865  
 866  
 867  
 868  
 869  
 870  
 871  
 872  
 873  
 874  
 875  
 876  
 877  
 878  
 879  
 880  
 881  
 882  
 883  
 884  
 885  
 886  
 887  
 888  
 889  
 890  
 891  
 892  
 893  
 894  
 895  
 896  
 897  
 898  
 899  
 900  
 901  
 902  
 903  
 904  
 905  
 906  
 907  
 908  
 909  
 910  
 911  
 912  
 913  
 914  
 915  
 916  
 917  
 918  
 919  
 920  
 921  
 922  
 923  
 924  
 925  
 926  
 927  
 928  
 929  
 930  
 931  
 932  
 933  
 934  
 935  
 936  
 937  
 938  
 939  
 940  
 941  
 942  
 943  
 944  
 945  
 946  
 947  
 948  
 949  
 950  
 951  
 952  
 953  
 954  
 955  
 956  
 957  
 958  
 959  
 960  
 961  
 962  
 963  
 964  
 965  
 966  
 967  
 968  
 969  
 970  
 971  
 972  
 973  
 974  
 975  
 976  
 977  
 978  
 979  
 980  
 981  
 982  
 983  
 984  
 985  
 986  
 987  
 988  
 989  
 990  
 991  
 992  
 993  
 994  
 995  
 996  
 997  
 998  
 999  
 1000  
 1001  
 1002  
 1003  
 1004  
 1005  
 1006  
 1007  
 1008  
 1009  
 10010  
 10011  
 10012  
 10013  
 10014  
 10015  
 10016  
 10017  
 10018  
 10019  
 10020  
 10021  
 10022  
 10023  
 10024  
 10025  
 10026  
 10027  
 10028  
 10029  
 10030  
 10031  
 10032  
 10033  
 10034  
 10035  
 10036  
 10037  
 10038  
 10039  
 10040  
 10041  
 10042  
 10043  
 10044  
 10045  
 10046  
 10047  
 10048  
 10049  
 10050  
 10051  
 10052  
 10053  
 10054  
 10055  
 10056  
 10057  
 10058  
 10059  
 10060  
 10061  
 10062  
 10063  
 10064  
 10065  
 10066  
 10067  
 10068  
 10069  
 10070  
 10071  
 10072  
 10073  
 10074  
 10075  
 10076  
 10077  
 10078  
 10079  
 10080  
 10081  
 10082  
 10083  
 10084  
 10085  
 10086  
 10087  
 10088  
 10089  
 10090  
 10091  
 10092  
 10093  
 10094  
 10095  
 10096  
 10097  
 10098  
 10099  
 100100  
 100101  
 100102  
 100103  
 100104  
 100105  
 100106  
 100107  
 100108  
 100109  
 100110  
 100111  
 100112  
 100113  
 100114  
 100115  
 100116  
 100117  
 100118  
 100119  
 100120  
 100121  
 100122  
 100123  
 100124  
 100125  
 100126  
 100127  
 100128  
 100129  
 100130  
 100131  
 100132  
 100133  
 100134  
 100135  
 100136  
 100137  
 100138  
 100139  
 100140  
 100141  
 100142  
 100143  
 100144  
 100145  
 100146  
 100147  
 100148  
 100149  
 100150  
 100151  
 100152  
 100153  
 100154  
 100155  
 100156  
 100157  
 100158  
 100159  
 100160  
 100161  
 100162  
 100163  
 100164  
 100165  
 100166  
 100167  
 100168  
 100169  
 100170  
 100171  
 100172  
 100173  
 100174  
 100175  
 100176  
 100177  
 100178  
 100179  
 100180  
 100181  
 100182  
 100183  
 100184  
 100185  
 100186  
 100187  
 100188  
 100189  
 100190  
 100191  
 100192  
 100193  
 100194  
 100195  
 100196  
 100197  
 100198  
 100199  
 100200  
 100201  
 100202  
 100203  
 100204  
 100205  
 100206  
 100207  
 100208  
 100209  
 100210  
 100211  
 100212  
 100213  
 100214  
 100215  
 100216  
 100217  
 100218  
 100219  
 100220  
 100221  
 100222  
 100223  
 100224  
 100225  
 100226  
 100227  
 100228  
 100229  
 100230  
 100231  
 100232  
 100233  
 100234  
 100235  
 100236  
 100237  
 100238  
 100239  
 100240  
 100241  
 100242  
 100243  
 100244  
 100245  
 100246  
 100247  
 100248  
 100249  
 100250  
 100251  
 100252  
 100253  
 100254  
 100255  
 100256  
 100257  
 100258  
 100259  
 100260  
 100261  
 100262  
 100263  
 100264  
 100265  
 100266  
 100267  
 100268  
 100269  
 100270  
 100271  
 100272  
 100273  
 100274  
 100275  
 100276  
 100277  
 100278  
 100279  
 100280  
 100281  
 100282  
 100283  
 100284  
 100285  
 100286  
 100287  
 100288  
 100289  
 100290  
 100291  
 100292  
 100293  
 100294  
 100295  
 100296  
 100297  
 100298  
 100299  
 100300  
 100301  
 100302  
 100303  
 100304  
 100305  
 100306  
 100307  
 100308  
 100309  
 100310  
 100311  
 100312  
 100313  
 100314  
 100315  
 100316  
 100317  
 100318  
 100319  
 100320  
 100321  
 100322  
 100323  
 100324  
 100325  
 100326  
 100327  
 100328  
 100329  
 100330  
 100331  
 100332  
 100333